


The Examiner's attention is directed to 37 C.F.R. 1.141(b) where allegedly different classes of inventions may be included and examined in a single application provided they are so linked as to form a single inventive concept. Please note that claims for a product are specifically authorized for examination together with claims for one process specially adapted for the use of that product. This is exactly the type of case for which the rule was promulgated, i.e., to avoid burdensome and unnecessary restrictions. It is also asserted that the requirement to restrict the present application would be an unnecessary burden upon the Applicants and the Examiner's failure to follow the mandates of the statute and regulation would be a denial of due process. For these reasons it is respectfully urged that the restriction requirement be rescinded.

Respectfully submitted,



Richard S. Roberts  
Reg. No. 27,941  
P.O. Box 484  
Princeton, New Jersey 08542  
(609) 921-3500  
Date: August 25, 2003

FAX RECEIVED  
AUG 26 2003  
TC 1700

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (FAX No. 703- 872-9310) on August 25, 2003.



Richard S. Roberts  
Reg. No. 27,941

OFFICIAL